# DRAFT Guidance Manual for the Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of Chemical Mixtures

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
Division of Toxicology

February 2001

Public Comment Period Ends September 2, 2002



#### **PREFACE**

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) mandates that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) shall assess whether adequate information on health effects is available for the priority hazardous substances. Where such information is not available or under development, ATSDR shall, in cooperation with the National Toxicology Program, initiate a program of research to determine these health effects. The Act further directs that where feasible, ATSDR shall develop methods to determine the health effects of substances in combination with other substances with which they are commonly found. The Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) of 1996 requires that factors to be considered in establishing, modifying, or revoking tolerances for pesticide chemical residues shall include the available information concerning the cumulative effects of substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity, and combined exposure levels to the substance and other related substances. The FQPA requires that the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) consult with the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (which includes ATSDR) in implementing some of the provisions of the act.

To carry out these legislative mandates, ATSDR's Division of Toxicology (DT) has developed and coordinated a research program for chemical mixtures that includes trend analysis to identify the mixtures most often found in environmental media, *in vivo* and *in vitro* toxicological testing of mixtures, quantitative modeling of joint action, and methodological development. These efforts are interrelated. For example, the trend testing suggests mixtures of concern for further research, the mixtures toxicological testing contributes to the design and calibration of the models and validation of the methodology, and the modeling and methodology efforts suggest further testing to resolve issues and enhance understanding.

In this manner, ATSDR scientists, in collaboration with mixtures risk assessors and laboratory scientists, have been evolving an approach to the assessment of the joint toxic action of chemical mixtures over a number of years. This body of work, including published articles and book chapters, government documents, meeting reports, and unpublished reports, is the foundation of this document.

The public comment period ends on September 2, 2002. Comments should be sent to:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry Division of Toxicology 1600 Clifton Road, N.E. Mail Stop E-29 Atlanta, GA 30333

Attn: Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D.

# **CONTRIBUTORS**

# **CHEMICAL MANAGER(S)/AUTHORS:**

Sharon Wilbur, M.A. Hugh Hansen, Ph.D. Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D. ATSDR, Division of Toxicology, Atlanta, GA

Joan Colman, Ph.D. William Stiteler, Ph.D., internal reviewer Syracuse Research Corporation, North Syracuse, NY

# THE GUIDANCE HAS UNDERGONE THE FOLLOWING ATSDR INTERNAL REVIEW:

Agency-wide review.

#### **EXPERT PANEL REVIEW**

An following expert panel was assembled to review this document on May 30-31, 2000.

# **Panel Members:**

#### Vladimir Bencko, M.D., Ph.D.

Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology Charles University of Prague Studnickova 7 CZ 128 00 Prague 2 Czech Republic

## Ingvar Eide, Ph.D.

Statoil Research Centre N-7005 Trondheim, Norway

### Henry Gardner, Ph.D.

3407 Rolling Green Drive Ft. Collins, CO 80525

# Peter Grevatt, Ph.D.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Solid Waste & Emergency Response Washington, DC

## John Groten, Ph.D.

Department of Explanatory Toxicology TNO Nutrition and Food Research Institute Zeist, Netherlands

# Richard Hertzberg, Ph.D.

Waste Management Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Atlanta, GA

#### Kannan Krishnan, Ph.D.

Human Toxicology Research Group University of Montreal Montreal, PQ, Canada

# Scott Masten, Ph.D.

Environmental Toxicology Program National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Research Triangle Park, NC

#### Mark McClanahan, Ph.D.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Environmental Health Health Studies Branch Atlanta, GA

## Harihara Mehendale, Ph.D.

Department of Toxicology College of Pharmacy University of Louisiana Monroe, LA

# Joel Pounds, Ph.D.

Department of Molecular Biosciences Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Richland, WA

## Jane Ellen Simmons, Ph.D.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC

# Madhusudan Soni, Ph.D.

Burdock and Associates, Inc. Vero Beach, FL

#### Els van Vliet, Ph.D.

Health Council of the Netherlands 2500 BB Den Haag The Netherlands

#### Raymond Yang, Ph.D.

Center for Environmental Toxicology and Technology Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO

#### **Technical Reviewer:**

# Patrick Durkin, Ph.D.

Syracuse Environmental Research Associates Fayetteville, NY

These experts collectively have knowledge of experimental, statistical, and modeling methods for mixtures, and quantification of risk to humans. All reviewers were selected in conformity with the conditions for peer review specified in Section 104(I)(13) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended.

Scientists from the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) have reviewed the peer reviewers' comments and determined which comments will be included in this document. A listing of the reviewers' comments, with a brief explanation regarding their inclusion or the rationale for their exclusion, exists as part of the administrative record for this document.

The citation of the expert panel review should not be understood to imply its approval of the document's final content. The responsibility for the content of this document lies with the ATSDR.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PREFA	CE	i
CONT	RIBUTORS	ii
EXPER	RT PANEL REVIEW i	ii
LIST O	OF FIGURES	iii
LIST O	OF TABLES	ix
ACRO	NYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS	X
EXECU	UTIVE SUMMARY	хi
1.	OVERVIEW	1
2.	OPTIONS AND ISSUES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF JOINT TOXIC ACTION OF CHEMICAL MIXTURES  2.1. MIXTURE OF CONCERN (WHOLE MIXTURE, ORIGINAL MIXTURE)  2.2. SIMILAR MIXTURE  2.3. COMPONENTS  2.3.1. Hazard Index  2.3.2. Target-organ Toxicity Dose Modification to Hazard Index Method  2.3.3. Weight-of-Evidence Modification to the Hazard Index  2.3.4. Toxic Equivalency and Relative Potency  2.3.5. Total Cancer Risk  2.3.6. The Integral Search System (ISS) for Ranking Hazards of Mixtures of Carcinogens  2.3.7. PBPK, PBPK/PD, and Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSAR)  2.3.7. PBPK, PBPK/PD, and Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSAR)	6 7 8 0 15 20 21
3.	METHODS USED OR PROPOSED BY OTHER AGENCIES       2         3.1. ACGIH       2         3.2. OSHA       2         3.3. NIOSH       2         3.4. EPA       2         3.5. NAS/NRC       2	25 25 26 26
4.	ATSDR APPROACH  4.1. OVERVIEW  4.2. STEPS IN EXPOSURE-BASED ASSESSMENT OF JOINT TOXIC ACTION OF CHEMICAL MIXTURES  4.2.1. Procedures for Assessment of Noncarcinogenic Effects (Figure 2)  4.2.2. Example Applications of Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action for Noncarcinogenic Effects of Chemical Mixtures  4.2.2.1. Residential Soil Contamination with CDDs and CDFs  4.2.2.2. Groundwater Contamination with Chemicals A, B, and C  3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3	28 30 30 37 38

		4.2.	1	
		4.2.	2.4. Groundwater Contamination with Chemicals D, E, F, and G	38
		4.2.	2.5. Groundwater Contamination with Chemicals H, I, J, K, and L	40
		4.2.	2.6. Air Contamination with Chemicals M, N, and O	41
		4.2.	2.7. Groundwater Contamination with 12 Chemicals	42
		4.2.3. Prod	cedures for Assessment of Carcinogenic Effects (Figure 3)	43
			imple Applications of Exposure-based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action for	
			cinogenic Effects of Chemical Mixtures	48
			4.1. Residential Soil Contamination with CDDs and CDFs	
		4.2.		
		4.2.		
		4.2.		
			4.5. Air Contamination with Chemicals H, I, and J	
			4.6. Groundwater Contamination with 12 Chemicals	
	4.3.		ATHWAY EXPOSURE	
	4.4.		E-RELATED EXPOSURES AND MULTIPLE STRESSORS	
	7.7.	11011-511	E-RELATED EXTOSORES AND MOETH LE STRESSORS	32
5.	REF	ERENCES		53
APPE	NDIX	A		
	BAC	KGROUNI	D INFORMATION ON THE ASSESSMENT OF	
			AND INTERACTIONS	4-1
			UCTION	
			FOR JOINT ACTION	
	11.2.	A.2.1.	DOSE ADDITION	
		A.2.2.	APPLICATIONS OF DOSE ADDITION TO HEALTH AND RISK	
		11.2.2.	ASSESSMENT	4-3
		A.2.3.	RESPONSE ADDITION	
		A.2.4.	APPLICATIONS OF RESPONSE ADDITION TO HEALTH OR RISK	1 2
		11.2.1.	ASSESSMENT	Δ <sub>-</sub> 6
	Δ3	INTERAC	CTIONS A	
	11.5.	A.3.1.	INTRODUCTION TO INTERACTION MODELS	
		A.3.2.	EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES	
		A.3.3.	ASSESSING THE RELEVANCE OF INTERACTIONS STUDIES TO	1-/
		A.3.3.	HUMAN HEALTH	۸ Q
	Λ 1	DEEEDEN	NCES A-	
	A.4.	KETEKE	NCES A	-10
APPE	NDIX	В		
	WEI	GHT-OF-E	VIDENCE METHODS	<b>3-</b> 1
	B.1	INTRODU	UCTION	3-1
	B.2	ORIGINA	AL WOE METHOD E	B-2
		B.2.1.	BINARY WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE SCORES	
		B.2.2.	QUALITATIVE WOE METHOD	
		B.2.3.	INTERACTION FACTORS	
		B.2.4.	WOE	
		B.2.5.	INTERACTIONS-BASED HAZARD INDEX	
		B.2.6.	STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE ORIGINAL WOE	_ 0
		J.2.0.	METHOD I	B-G
	В 3	MODIFIE	ED WOE METHOD	
	٠٠٠.	1110DH IL	22 ,, OB 1, 1 1 1 OD , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10

	B.3.1.	MODIFIED BINARY WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE SCORES	B-10
	B.3.2.	MODIFIED INTERACTIONS-BASED HAZARD INDEX	B-11
	B.3.3.	STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE MODIFIED WOE	
		METHOD	B-13
B.	4. PRACTI	ICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF A WOE MET	HOD
	IN PUBI	LIC HEALTH ASSESSMENTS	B-14
B.	5. REFERI	ENCES	B-14
APPENDI	X C		
		RAL SEARCH SYSTEM FOR RANKING HAZARDS OF	
		OF CARCINOGENS	
C.	1. INTROI	DUCTION	C-1
C.	2. WEIGH	ITING RATIO	C-1
C.	3. INHERE	ENT CANCER HAZARD AND LEVEL OF CONCERN	C-2
C.	4. STRENG	GTHS AND LIMITATIONS	C-3
C.	5. REFERI	ENCES	C-4

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Overview of EPA Guidelines for Mixtures Risk Assessment	27
Figure 2.	Strategy for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of Chemical Mixtures:  Noncarcinogenic Effects	31
Figure 3.	Strategy for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of Chemical Mixtures: Carcinogenic Effects	44

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Definitions of Chemical Mixture Terms	3
Table 2. Interactions Terminology	4
Table 3. Mechanistic Bases of Toxicological Interactions among Chemicals	5
Table 4. Endpoints Affected by Chemicals 1, 2, 3, and 4	.3
Table 5, B-1. Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of Chemical Interactions 17, B-	-4
Table B-2. Modified Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of Chemical	
Interactions B-1	. 2
Table C-1. Correspondence Among Slope Factors, Exponent Indexes, and Concern Levels C-	-3

# ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

ACGIH American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists

ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

BINWOE Binary weight-of-evidence

BMD benchmark dose

BTEXs benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes

CDD chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin CDF chlorinated dibenzofuran

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

DNA deoxyribonucleic acid DT Division of Toxicology

EMEG environmental media evaluation guide EPA Environmental Protection Agency FOPA Food Quality Protection Act

HI hazard index HQ hazard quotient

IRIS Integrated Risk Information System

ISS Integral Search System

kg kilogram

LOAEL lowest-observed-adverse-effect level

mg milligram

MRL Minimal Risk Level

NAS National Academy of Science

NIEHS National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences NIOSH National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

NOAEL no-observed-adverse-effect level NRC National Research Council

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PAH polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

PBPK/PD physiologically based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic

PCB polychlorinated biphenyl PEL permissible exposure limit

ppm parts per million

QSAR quantitative structure-activity relationship

RfC Reference Concentration

RfD Reference Dose

SAR structure-activity relationship TCDD 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin

TEF Toxic Equivalency Factor

TEQ Toxic Equivalent TLV threshold limit value TTD target-organ toxicity dose

UF uncertainty factor
U.S. United States
WOE weight-of-evidence

> greater than

≥ greater than or equal to

equal toless than

≤ less than or equal to

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Guidance Manual for the Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of Chemical Mixtures (Mixtures Guidance Manual) is intended to assist environmental health scientists and toxicologists of ATSDR's Division of Toxicology (DT) in determining whether exposure to chemical mixtures at hazardous waste sites may impact public health. It serves a basis for interaction profiles, as the basis for health assessments and health consultations.

The ATSDR approach outlined in the Mixtures Guidance Manual is consistent with the approach articulated by EPA in 1986 and used to some extent, formally or informally, by a number of agencies. The approach is grounded in the law (CERCLA and the Food Quality Protection Act), and affords greater assurance of protection against adverse health effects than does the assessment of each chemical separately. The Expert Peer Review Panel, assembled on May 30-31, 2000 (see page iii), strongly approved of ATSDR's efforts to provide guidance for assessing joint toxic action of chemical mixtures and endorsed the ATSDR approach presented herein, which incorporates their comments and recommendations. The Mixture Guidance Manual also underwent ATSDR agency-wide review and incorporates comments received from these reviewers.

This guidance is designed to be used in conjunction with the ATSDR Public Health Assessment Guidance Manual, which provides the primary guidance for public health assessment, including aspects not covered in the Mixtures Guidance Manual. These additional aspects include exposure assessment guidance, recommended sources of health guideline values and toxicological information, and evaluation of health implications of other medical and toxicological factors, sensitive subpopulations, uncertainties, and community-specific health outcome data and community health concerns. The outcome of the public health assessment process is a determination of the category of public health hazard (ranging from urgent to no apparent public health hazard), and of follow-up actions including actions to protect public health, collection of additional health or site-characterization information, and community health education.

The systematic method outlined in the Mixtures Guidance Manual integrates ATSDR's interaction profiles, toxicological profiles, and research on chemical mixtures into a practical screening approach for potential health hazards. The conclusions from this exposure-based screening assessment of mixture hazard can then be taken into account *along with* biomedical judgment, the community-specific health outcome data, and community health concerns, to determine the public health implications and follow-up activities for a hazardous waste site.

The Mixtures Guidance Manual is organized so that the first three chapters provide background information considered important in understanding the ATSDR approach to mixtures assessment. The fourth chapter presents the ATSDR approach to exposure-based assessment of the joint toxic action of chemical mixtures. This approach is a semi-quantitative screening process. A step-by-step procedure is outlined in a flow chart for the assessment of noncarcinogenic effects and discussed in the accompanying text, followed by a series of examples illustrating the strategy. The strategy for the assessment of carcinogenic effects is then presented in a similar manner, with a flow chart, discussion, and series of examples.

The strategies for noncancer and cancer effects are similar. Exposure data and toxicological information on the mixture of concern (or a similar mixture) are the preferred basis for an assessment. If available, toxicological information on mixtures of concern for hazardous waste sites are likely to be reviewed and evaluated in ATSDR documents, including interaction profiles and toxicological profiles. If specific ATSDR documents or comparable documents from other agencies are not available, or do not provide Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) or comparable health guideline values for the mixture or guidance regarding a health assessment approach, and if suitable whole mixture studies are not available, a components-based approach is undertaken.

The components-based approach focuses on mixture components that are present at toxicologically significant exposure levels, based on estimated exposures and relevant health guideline values. Linked physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PBPK/PD) models for two or more components, if available, may be used to predict the potential for interactions, or possibly for noncancer or cancer health effects from the mixture. The hazard index method is used to screen for noncancer health hazards from potential additivity of the components. Cancer risks for the components are summed to screen for health hazards from potential additivity of carcinogenic effects. A weight-of-evidence method is used to evaluate the potential impact of interactions on noncancer and cancer health effects.

Additional technical detail regarding the concepts of dose and response addition, and the methodology for evaluating potential interactions, is provided in the appendices.